•						
	ANKRUPTCY COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA					
In re:  LI WAN; ZANPEI XU,  Debtors.  STUBBS ALDERTON & MARKILES, LLP, a California limited liability partnership,  Plaintiff,  vs.  LI WAN, an individual aka Margaret Xu; ZANPEI XU, an individual aka Heim Xu; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	BK NO. 2:24-bk-18523-BB ADV NO. Chapter 7  COMPLAINT TO DETERMINE AMOUNT OF DEBT AND TO DETERMINE THE DEBT TO BE NON-DISCHARGEABLE/ DENY DISCHARGE  DENY DISCHARGE  DENY DISCHARGE					
D. Contacts	)					
Defendants.  TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF THE BANKRUPTCY COURT:						
AS AND FOR A SEPARATE CLAIM C						
1. Plaintiff, STUBBS ALDERTON & MARKILES, LLP (hereafter, "SAM"), is a						
creditor of the above-named debtors: LI WAN (also known as Margaret Xu; hereafter, "WAN"  -1-  COMPLAINT TO DETERMINE DEBT TO BE NON-DISCHARGEABLE, ETC.						
	Attorneys-At-Law  27240 TURNBERRY LANE, SUITE 200 VALENCIA, CALIFORNIA 91355 Telephone (818) 783-8991  Allan Herzlich State Bar #100920 Jerome J. Blum State Bar #100317 Marta Roza State Bar #307206  Attorneys for Plaintiff  UNITED STATES BA CENTRAL DISTRIC  In re:  LI WAN; ZANPEI XU, Debtors.  STUBBS ALDERTON & MARKILES, LLP, a California limited liability partnership, Plaintiff,  vs.  LI WAN, an individual aka Margaret Xu; ZANPEI XU, an individual aka Heim Xu; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, Defendants.  TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF THE BAN  AS AND FOR A SEPARATE CLAIM Control of the above-named debtors: LI WAN (attention of the above-named debtors: LI WAN (					

///

28

- 7. By reason of said actions, Debtors/Defendants were able to deceive Plaintiff into entering into said transaction. Plaintiff was unaware that the representations of Defendants were false and fraudulent and, justifiably relying on said representations as being true, determined to deliver/provide services to the Debtors/Defendants and Wisetex Apparel, LLC. Had the Plaintiff known said representations were untrue, it would not have provided said services to Debtors/Defendants and/or to Wisetex Apparel, LLC.
- 8. Obviously, all debts were not paid (i.e., the Debtors/Defendants listed the debt described herein in their Schedules). On information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that the Debtors/Defendants have failed to explain, satisfactorily, any losses of assets or deficiency of assets, to meet their liabilities.
- 9. Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that the Debtors/Defendants sold and conveyed various assets/items of Wisetex Apparel, LLC's property to persons (and, likely, themselves) or firms unknown to Plaintiff without receiving in exchange therefor fair and adequate consideration.
- 10. Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that, each such asset and/or item of business property transferred and/or sold was worth in excess of the consideration, if any, received. The transfer(s) and/or sale(s) made by the Debtors/Defendants under the circumstances as related herein is contrary to the bankruptcy statutes and the Debtors' discharge should be denied for the reason that at each such time either Debtor transferred, removed, concealed or sold property/assets was with the intent to hinder, delay or defraud creditors and/or otherwise constituted a voidable transfer under appropriate laws.
- 11. As a direct and foreseeable result of false and fraudulent statements of and/or intentional actions and failures to act of Defendants, and by reason of Plaintiff's relying on the truthfulness of the same Plaintiff has been damaged in the sum of \$215,239.32, plus interest

## 

- 11					
1	thereon at the	maximum legal rate from Septem	ber 30, 2022.		
2					
3	WHEI	REFORE, Plaintiff prays for Judga	ment as follows:		
4					
5	1.	That the Court determine the am	ount of debt in a sum	according to proof, but no	
6		less than \$215,239.32;			
7	2.	That the Court determine that the	e debt referenced abor	ve is a debt which may be	
8	T With war	excepted from discharge, after n	otice and hearing, und	der 11 USC §523(a)(2) and/	or
9		11 USC §523(a)(4) and 11 USC	§523(c);		
10	3.	That the day and date be set for	hearing to determine	the amount of debt and the	
11		non-dischargeability of said deb	t;		
12	4.	For costs herein expended;			
13	5.				
14		Defendant for the amount of Pla			
15	6.	. For such other relief to which th	ne Plaintiff may be ent	itled.	
16	DATED: Jan	nuary 13, 2025 Re	espectfully submitted	7	
17			ERZLICH, BLUM&	ROZA, LLP	
18		В	MARTA ROZA		
19			U		
20	: 1. # 1 2 3-1				
21					
22					
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>					
24 25					
25 26					
<ul><li>20</li><li>27</li></ul>					
28					
_0					

## B1040 (FORM 1040) (12/15)

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEE (Instructions on Reverse)	ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER (Court Use Only)		
PLAINTIFFS STUBBS ALDERTON & MARKILES, LLP, a California limited liability partnership	DEFENDANTS  LI WAN, an individual aka Margaret Xu; ZANPEI XU, an individual aka Heim Xu		
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.) HERZLICH, BLUM & ROZA, LLP 27240 TURNBERRY LANE, SUITE 200 VALENCIA, CALIFORNIA 91355 Telephone (818) 783-8991	ATTORNEYS (If Known)		
PARTY (Check One Box Only)  Debtor U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin Creditor Other Trustee	PARTY (Check One Box Only)    B Debtor □ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin □ Creditor □ Other □ Trustee		
CAUSE OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE  This is an action under 11 USC §523(a)(2) and/or (a)(4); 11 USC §523(c) for a determination excepting the debt due to Plaintiff from di  Complaint constitutes a core proceeding	OF ACTION, INCLUDING ALL U.S. STATUTES INVOLVED) scharge. This Court has jurisdiction of this action under 28 USC §1334, and this		
NATURE ( (Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as			
FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property  11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property  12-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer  13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer  14-Recovery of money/property - other  FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien  21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property  FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property  31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h)  FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge  41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e)  FRBP 7001(5) – Revocation of Confirmation  51-Revocation of confirmation  FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability  66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims  x 62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud  67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny (continued next column)	FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability (continued)    61-Dischargeability - §523(a)(5), domestic support   68-Dischargeability - §523(a)(8), student loan   63-Dischargeability - §523(a)(8), student loan   64-Dischargeability - §523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation   (other than domestic support)   65-Dischargeability - other  FRBP 7001(7) – Injunctive Relief   71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay   72-Injunctive relief – other  FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest   81-Subordination of claim or interest  FRBP 7001(9) Declaratory Judgment   91-Declaratory judgment   91-Declaratory judgment   O1-Determination of removed claim or cause  Other   SS-SIPA Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa et.seq.   02-Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to bankruptcy case)		
☐ Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law ☐ Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint	☐ Check if this is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23  Demand \$ 215,239.32		
Other Relief Sought			

## B1040 (FORM 1040) (12/15)

NAME OF DEBTOR LI WAN; ZANPEI XU  DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING Central		BANKRUPTCY CASE N	BANKRUPTCY CASE NO. 2:24-bk-18523-BB	
		DIVISION OFFICE Los Angeles	NAME OF JUDGE Honorable Sheri Bluebond	
	RELATED ADVERSA	RY PROCEEDING (IF ANY)		
PLAINTIFF	DEFENI	DANT	ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO.	
DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDING		DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE	
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR	PLAINTIFE?	DRINT NAME OF ATTO	DRNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)	
DATE  January 13, 2025		Marta Roza	ORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)	

## INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also must complete and file Form 1040, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 1040 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and Defendants. Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

Attorneys. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

Demand. Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

**Signature.** This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.